# **Authorship Detection**

Minutes for 12<sup>th</sup> meeting on 07<sup>th</sup> April 2011 Held in Innova Lunch Area third floor, 4.00PM – 5.00PM Participants: Brian, Maryam, Clement, Joel, Jie Dong Absent: Derek

#### Minutes

## 1. Project status/progress during last week

- a. the functions of each algorithm was described again to refresh the memory.
- b. English text was verified to be all right and it was the encoding problem of the notepad.
- c. Trigram Markov
- d. Word Recurrence Interval
  - i. Results was obtained using the Federalist Text.
  - ii. 15 training data, 12 disputed text which suppose to be written by Madison.
  - iii. Results shown was not favourable. The prediction was very inconsistent, achieving a low accuracy rate of 53% most of the time.
  - iv. it was suggested that the testing could be bias to Madison as only Madison text was taken as testing data.
  - v. Comparing with the earlier results using the English text, which involves 100 training data and 70 disputed text, the accuracy and consistency was even much lower.
  - vi. WRI might not be suitable for authorship detection.
  - vii. It was suggested to combine the Function Word Frequency developed by Joel to enhance the algorithm.
- e. Function word Frequency

### 2. Project goals for upcoming week

- a) Clement:
  - i. do another testing.
  - ii. implement enhanced version of the WRI.
  - iii. prepare powerpoint slides for the seminar.
  - iv. start to make the video.
- b) Joel:
  - i. do another testing.
  - ii. implement enhanced version of the WRI.
  - iii. prepare powerpoint slides for the seminar.
  - iv. start to make the video.
  - v.

# c) Dong Jie

- i. do another testing.
- ii. implement enhanced version of the WRI.
- iii. prepare powerpoint slides for the seminar.
- iv. start to make the video.
- ν.